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*Abstract*—This work critically analysed the literature regarding how Deep Learning algorithms can be combined with Big Data technologies to produce accurate and precise results. Two models were built, one to demonstrate the benefits of employing the big data framework Pyspark and the second to show the importance of choosing the best parameters for an ANN.
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# Introduction

The chosen topic of this research is the use of Deep Learning (DL) with Big Data (BD). These are two prominent aspects within the field of data analytics. Combining the two technologies unlocks the potential to predict the outcome of large complex datasets that were previously beyond the scope of data analysis.

(Objective Statement) The objective of this work is to introduce both concepts, their benefits and drawbacks, investigate how they can be used in collaboration and provide a coded example of them operating in unison.

The research question of this piece is:

Deep Learning refers to hierarchical algorithms which automatically identify patterns, using unsupervised and supervised strategies, between multiple features of complex datasets. DL algorithms are composed of multiple layers of non-linear transformations depending on weights, biases, and activation functions. The algorithms output binary/multivariate classification and regression results, which have been applied to a wide range of applications such as image detection, speech recognition and language processing (Jan et al., 2019; Najafabadi et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018). Deep Neural Networks’ signature is the concept of backpropagation (Chae et al., 2018). This key characteristic creates a loop in which the weights and biases are updated to improve the result with each iteration. The numerous hidden layers within the DL algorithm are trained using calculus, namely stochastic gradient descent (LeCun et al., 2015). The aim is to find the parameters where the loss is at a minimum, which is identified by calculating the gradient iteratively until it converges to a fixed value (Alsheikh et al., n.d.).

The second focus of this work is Big Data. Big data has become prevalent due to the abundance of data, particularly the rate, being generated.

*Figure CC: Function of Bog Data Frameworks (Gandomi and Haider, 2015)*

The 5 V’s (Velocity, Volume, Veracity, Variety and Value) describe the characteristics of a big data set.

It demands more robust systems compared to storage methods and analyses of conventional data (Chen and Lin, 2014). BD frameworks must be designed to store and refine the raw data, along with the processes listed in Figure CC.

(Research Q) This work aims to address the question: how do deep learning algorithms perform on big datasets and what effect does the architecture of the DL algorithms have on results? How can the architectures be fine-tuned to optimize the results?

# Literature Review

A comprehensive review was performed on the published literature. The current state of the art was studied which informed the practical sections.

Chae et al. used mandatorily reported infectious disease data collected in South Korea as the basis of their predictive model. They collected data from various sources, one of which was Twitter, and it was classified as big data. The paper doesn’t specify what BD framework was used. Two DL algorithms were applied and compared to ARIMA, a common non-stationary time series ML algorithm. [A lag parameter was introduced due to the serial nature of the prediction model. This parameter determined how many days after the data was collected, that data was used in the prediction of the disease levels. This parameter was optimized for each disease.] A DNN and an LSTM model, a type of RNN were set up using the keras library. An RNN builds on the feed-forward characteristic of ANNs by using the previous iteration’s result and the current iteration’s value to update the weights. In this way, there is internal memory built into each neuron. B. The optimisers, activation functions and epochs were varied with all other parameters remaining constant. The RMSE was used to compare the various models. The optimized DNN models performed the 24% better, followed by the LSTM, 18% better, compared to the ARIMA baseline. They outlined the limitations of the study as the small data collection window, the lack of transfer to other regions and relatively few DL parameters taken into consideration (Chae et al., 2018).

Mohapatra et al. published work detailing the need for big data storage and processing in the healthcare sector. The researchers focused on ECG data. Abnormalities are difficult to detect by the human eye as the deviation is tiny, hence an automatic detection system would be very beneficial. A cloud based system was proposed with Hadoop MapReduce as the data manager. In the physical layer, an HDFS was employed along with an HBase repository. The MapReduce functions are applied to the characteristic ECG signals. This proved a valuable step as the map functions be highlighted, followed by the reduce functions filtering out unneeded data and identifying the abnormal cardiac rhythms. They are also applied them to the other health attributes. This extracted dataset was then used to train a classification ANN – does a patient with a given set of health indictors have heart disease. A notable addition to this model was particle swarm optimization (PSO). This is a streamlined algorithm to find the global minimum of the loss function thus extracting the best weights in as few iterations as possible. The author notes the benefit of the parallel MapReduce technique for training the ANN. A simulation was run with open-source data and the ANN had an accuracy of 99% when all attributes were used. This model’s accuracy decreased exponentially as the number of attributes reduced, showing ANN’s need for complex patterns within a dataset. The CPUs scaled up well as the flow of data increased, accredited to the Hadoop framework in use. The authors note the importance of normalization, collecting data from a diverse range of patients and the nature of the model i.e. ANN are well suited to classification but not temporal problems. A limitation of this study is that batch data was used. This is computationally less expensive than streaming data (Mohapatra et al., 2023).

Big Data often comes in an unstructured/unlabeled form which DL algorithms extract a hierarchy from and that is used to train a shallower (less deep, simpler) model. Najafabadi outlines some general applications of DL within the BD space.

Semantic Indexing techniques can be applied to BD which finds high-level, abstract links between the data. The data is stored more efficiently using these links. When the volume of data is so vast this allows faster extraction of such data compared to when it is stored in its raw data bit string form. This is widely applied in document storage databases, documents’ texts can be scanned and then sorted based on topic. Google’s word2vec DL algorithm is an example of this.

An extension of this is DL can be employed alongside simpler linear models when analysing BD to maximise computational efficiency.

To develop this area the limitations must be considered.

(Najafabadi et al., 2015)

Kim et al. are working to combine Apache Spark and the TensorFlow library. So-called Deepspark aims to integrate DL algorithms and BD frameworks. It allows DL learning to be performed across multiple clusters, parameters are automatically divided using Spark. The clusters work iteratively using stochastic gradient descent, to optimize the parameters and minimize the loss. Synchronised SGD waits for the parameter values from each node to reach the barrier, the master node gathers and averages them before backpropagating them through the network. Kim notes the limitation of this system by the slowest node, so the team investigates asynchronous GSD. This utilizes master-slave node architecture with a server node monitoring the parameters globally. DeepSpark is composed of:

* Apache Spark
* Parameter exchanger
* Computing Engine

This architecture was found to require more epochs but less computing time overall to achieve the same loss as the benchmark. This demonstrates the benefits to DL of using a distributed framework (Kim et al., 2016).

Another example of Spark being used to speed up the training of NN is outlined by Moritz et al., namely SparkNet. (Moritz et al., 2016)

Versaci et al. propose an image classification algorithm using a Cassandra database solution. Cassandra DB is a highly scalable, distributed framework for handling large datasets in commercial and academic settings. The architecture for the reading in and pre-processing can be seen in Figure BB. It was noted that both the data loader and server. The updated parameters calculated during backpropagation are gathered from each local mini-batch and consolidated into overall global weights. A mirrored strategy was adopted, whereby the consolidated parameters are kept on each of the working servers. This currently has not been applied to TensorFlow, however it is a promising step in the right direction for combining DL and BD(Versaci and Busonera, 2021).

Singh et al. commented on the poor performance of MapReduce with iterative ML algorithms such as K-Means clustering. This is one of the reasons why MapReduce was not explored in the coded example of this work as ANN are also iterative (Singh and Reddy, 2015). Zakir et al. mirror this sentiment and praise Apache Sparks ability to handle iterative algorithms better (Zakir and Seymour, 2015)

LeChun et al. comment on the likely move towards unsupervised deep learning in the coming years. Unsupervised data makes up much of the big data available and so these two fields need to work together to create models using this form of data. They also make note of the applications in reinforcement learning which will likely be explored (LeCun et al., 2015)

A diagram of a data processing process

Description automatically generated

*Figure BB: The Cassandra DB workflow proposed by Versaci et al. (Versaci and Busonera, 2021)*

An additional level of complexity to be added to an algorithm is a dataset containing time series data. Lv et al. published preliminary work on collecting real-time traffic data and using it to predict the future state of traffic on the same roads. Stacked Autoencoders were used, where the output becomes the input in the next loop. The researchers noted it performed well in medium and heavy traffic but poorly in light traffic (Lv et al., 2014). DeepTFP, another traffic predictor, predicted the traffic much more accurately than ARIMA when comparing the RSME (Chen et al., 2017).

Another source of BD to consider is the Internet of Things, IoT. IoT systems produce a huge amount of sensor data and streaming data that are collected at regular intervals giving the datasets a characteristic serial element. The review comments on the various DL frameworks, such as TensorFlow and Torch, that work well with IoT-style data and can incorporate Hadoop file storage and management. They note the suitability of RNNs, specifically LSTM, with serial data and how they can provide better models compared to ANNs. The authors observe the lack of technology to handle real-time data, for which they propose using the Chainer framework. Chainer is designed to be updated dynamically and is optimized in a defined-by-run manner. The noisy, unlabeled and varying formats of IoT data were highlighted as a potential challenge for the clusters of computers used in BD also. The authors make note of the lack of focus of DL algorithms on classification and encourage more work to be carried out on regression-style problems (Mohammadi et al., 2018).

Mobile Big Data, data produced by mobile devices, is another dataset type which produces data with the 5 V characteristics. Alsheikh et al. used MapReduce across multiple Spark nodes to run DL algorithms. They noted the beneficial scalability of Spark. The architecture had many workers and one master Spark which read in all the workers’ outputs and combined them to deliver the result. It is concluded that the BD platform, Apache Spark, deals well with the 5 V’s of MBD as well as the DL tools identify the complex patterns within the MBD accurately. The authors noted that the data’s veracity property required subject matter expert input to validate. They trained a model to identify activity recognition errors. Their model performed the best when compared to other ML techniques (Alsheikh et al., n.d.).

# Methodology

To further investigate this area data was acquired from a reputable online source, namely UC Irvine machine learning repository. The datasets are controlled using the Creative Commons licencing agreement. This gives consent for public use provided that the site is appropriately referenced (“Legal Code - Attribution 4.0 International - Creative Commons,” n.d.) .

Multiple datasets were explored and two were chosen, one for regression, the concrete dataset (I-Cheng Yeh, 1998), and one for classification, the banking dataset (S. Moro, 2014).

## Advanced Data Analytics

The concrete dataset was composed of physical features of concrete, with the target variable being the compressive strength.

The bulk of the Neural Network analysis was performed on this dataset. EDA was performed. The features were all numeric, so encoding was not required. No missing values were present. The spread of the features was checked. They were a mixture of approximately normal and skewed distributions. Boxplots were created. Some features had outliers. The dependencies between the features were plotted using a pair plot.

Standard and MinMax scaling was tried. Better results were achieved with MinMax scaling.

To combine BD and DL technologies the dataset was loaded in using spark.read.load. An Artificial Neural Network was constructed to predict the compressive strength of the concrete.

The parameters were tuned to find the combination which gave the lowest loss. Due to this being a regression problem no activation function was applied to the output neuron. A single output neuron was chosen as the model was producing a single numeric predicted output. The following parameters were tuned:

* Number of Neurons
* Activation Function
* Loss Function
* Number of Epochs
* Optimizer
* Batch Size

Multiple values were chosen for each hyperparameter and the value was varied while the others remained constant. The minimum loss for each was noted and the minimums for each were used in the final ANN.

## Big Data

The banking dataset was considered to demonstrate the benefit of using Pyspark on a large dataset. This had 45,000 rows and took up 4.6 MB of storage, compared to 58 KB for the 1,000 rows in the concrete dataset. This dataset’s purpose was to demonstrate how effective the Apache Spark platform is at dealing with larger datasets.

The dataset was explored, scaled and encoded using a standard pandas data frame for ease and then saved to the Hadoop user1. The CSV file was added to the notebook using the spark.read.load

A basic NN was compiled and run on a Pyspark and Jupyter notebook. The task manager was opened and the performance of the Jupyter notebook browser and the VM was compared.
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*Figure AA: Computer Performance without Apache Spark platform*
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*Figure BB: Computer Performance with Apache Spark platform*

# Results and Discussion

The aim of the ANN built using the concrete dataset was to predict the compressive strength of a concrete sample based on 8 input features.

An RNN was tried on this dataset, but they are better suited to time series data.

One parameter which was not explored in this work was dropout. Dropout is used to reduce overfitting.

The combination of parameters which provided the lowest loss is shown in table XX

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of Neurons | 500 |
| Activation Function | Relu |
| Loss Function | Mean\_squared\_logarithmic\_error |
| Number of Epochs | 500 |
| Optimizer | Adagrad |
| Batch Size | 10 |

*Table XX: The optimal hyperparameters for the ANN*

The activation and loss functions had the greatest impact on reducing the loss. Choosing these two functions carefully is crucial for an optimally performing NN.

Scaling the data also had a big impact. Initially, standard scaling was tried but due to the approximate normal distribution of the features min-max scaling was tried and found to perform better.

Looking at the Chrome in Figure AA and the VM in Figure BB the memory usage is 97.5 MB vs 41.4 MB. This highlights the improvement of a distributed computing system like Apache Spark. It’s simple to implement in Python thanks to the Pyspark API. Due to time constraints, this DL algorithm was not explored past this point. An improvement to this section would be to perform the EDA using Pyspark. This removes the need to save the data again once it has been scaled and encoded. There were issues relating to the loss function of the ANN. This may have been due to the encoding of the data. Label encoding was used in place of one hot for simplicity.

# Conclusion

This work investigated how Deep Learning Neural Networks can be used with Big Data platforms to analyse large scale data. A thorough literature review was conducted in which the state-of-the-art work was considered, the gaps and limitations explained and applications of these technologies in the real world.

Two datasets were explored, one regression and one classification. The datasets were visualised, scaled and encoded where necessary. Apache Spark was chosen as the Big Data framework and demonstrated using an Ubuntu Virtual Machine. The computer’s performance was compared using task manager, and it was found that when using Apache Spark, the computer used less than half of the memory allocation compared to just running the algorithm on its CPU. This illustrated the power of Spark’s clustering framework. The regression ANN’s parameters and architecture were refined to find the optimal combination and minimize the loss. The parameters had a major impact on the ANN’s result. This highlighted the criticality of refining a model for a specific problem.

This is an exciting field of research which has wide-ranging applicability, such as IoT devices, healthcare settings and mobile data.
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